Deep Learning for Robust Iris Recognition: Introducing Synchronized Spatiotemporal Linear Discriminant Model-Iris

Saif Kadhim

College of Graduate Studies (COGS), Universiti Tenaga Nasional (National Energy University), Selangor, Malaysia.

Johnny Ko Siaw Paw

Institute of Sustainable Energy, Universiti Tenaga Nasional (National Energy University), Selangor, Malaysia

Yaw. Chong Tak

Institute of Sustainable Energy, Universiti Tenaga Nasional (National Energy University), Selangor, Malaysia

Shahad Ameen

College of Graduate Studies (COGS), Universiti Tenaga Nasional (National Energy University), Selangor, Malaysia

Ahmed Alkhayyat

Department of computers Techniques engineering, College of technical engineering, The Islamic University, Najaf, Iraq

Corresponding Author: Saif Kadhim

Copyright © 2025 Saif Kadhim, et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

A novel Synchronized Spatiotemporal Linear Discriminant Model –Iris (SSLDMNet-Iris,) a deep learning architecture is introduced in this work which is designed to address the challenges associated with iris recognition under varying environments, such as occlusion, variations in eye pupil dilation, and lower image quality. This has been implemented by integrating multi-scale convolutional feature extraction with synchronized temporal modeling through Gated Recurrent Units (GRUs), the proposed SSLDMNet-Iris model effectively can catch both intricate texture details and global spatial patterns related to the iris. Additionally, the model utilizes Fisher's Linear Discriminant (FLD) for features extraction and optimizing the separation between classes while minimizing intra-class variance, thereby raising recognition accuracy. Comprehensive experiments conducted on seven benchmark datasets (i.e., CASIA Iris 1.0, CASIA Iris 2.0, CASIA Iris 3.0, CASIA Iris 4.0, IITD, UBIRIS, MMU), and exhibit a promising accuracy rate where, the SSLDMNet-Iris surpassing traditional models

PE21093@student.uniten.edu.my

johnnykoh@uniten.edu.my

chongty@uniten.edu.my

PT21299@student.uniten.edu.my

ahmedalkhayyat85@gmail.com

3446

like VGG16, AlexNet, and ResNet. Notably, SSLDMNet-Iris attains 100% accuracy on CASIA Iris 1.0, CASIA Iris 2.0, and MMU datasets, while maintaining high computational efficiency with a reduced processing time. These results highlight the robustness and versatility of SSLDMNet-Iris, making it an ideal candidate for real-time iris recognition applications.

Keywords: Artificial intelligence, Deep learning, Iris recognition, SSLDMNet-Iris, Feature extraction.

1. INTRODUCTION

Due to the rapid advancement in Iris recognition technology, there have been a lot of developments in various sectors including the security and surveillance sector, biometric based identification and access control [1]. The initial works for the implementation of iris-based recognition systems are not much more than just the raw feature extraction methods, for instance, the Gabor waveletbased feature extraction [2], and Daugman's rubber sheet model [3]. With the advancement in artificial intelligence [4], and the emergence of Deep learning the accuracy and the confidence of iris recognition systems have been enhanced especially in low light conditions [5]. These include variation in lighting conditions [6], distortions arising from variation in pupil size [7], and interference from reflection and occlusion [8]. However, some of these challenges and issues have not been fully addressed, hence, in some cases, identity authentication systems are unable to capture a clear iris image due to motion and distance [9]; or the Iris images may be partially obscured by eyelashes, eyelids or even contact lenses which may hinder the system's capability of obtaining reliable information [10]. Another issue which remains a big challenge is how the system will operate where there is variation in lighting conditions, including infrared lighting or visible lighting surrounding the object [11]. Such challenges make it necessary to develop more stable models that would be able to perform well in real-life settings. [12]. In the area of iris recognition, there have been efforts from the researchers to develop recognition systems that are able to counter the challenges that are discussed herein with the aim of realizing reliable performance under various conditions [13]. In the current state of the art, the work in iris recognition has been directed at enhancing the performance of feature extraction process by combining the shallow and deep level image characteristics for effective recognition especially where the irises are partly obscured or under the influence of varying lighting conditions [14]. The integration of these multi-scale features helps the models to capture both the large level features such as the iris texture and furrows hence improving the efficiency of the recognition process [15]. The use of real time applications has been increasing especially in personal devices, embedded systems and security checkpoints [16], hence there is need to produce new ways of improving the computational performance of iris recognition. There is a growing need for models that are able to deliver high levels of accuracy while at the same time minimizing the power consumption [17]. This has led to the creation of lightweight architectures that are able to support real time operation without really affecting recognition performance. The following applications have been made possible by these systems such as remote monitoring, mobile authentication and night vision security where conventional imaging systems produce unreliable results [18]. The utilization of deep learning models, especially Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs), has transformed the domain of iris recognition [19]. These models have exhibited exceptional proficiency in tackling ongoing challenges, including occlusions, varied environmental conditions, and cross-modality recognition, like the integration of iris recognition with additional biometric methods [20]. Nonetheless, current research persists

in investigating methods to augment model robustness, scalability, and adaptability in practical applications, thereby ensuring that iris recognition systems maintain their prominence in biometric technology.

The next parts of this paper are arranged as follows: In Section 2, some related literature of iris recognition using variant deep learning models are presented and discussed. Section 3 presented the suggested methodology phases. The newly developed deep model (SSLDMNet-Iris) is described in Section 4. Section 5 shows the experiments results of the presented system using four datasets. A discussion about the performance of the introduced deep model is illustrated in Section 6. Section 7 provides the conclusion, and the future works intend.

2. RELATED LITERATURES

Iris identification has progressed, especially with deep learning, and has been extensively researched to improve its accuracy and efficacy under difficult settings. This section provides a discussion number of noteworthy contributions and their performance outcomes on how deep learning has improved iris identification by addressing reliable feature extraction, data augmentation, and classification.

In [21], A new architecture of deep CNN, so called IrisConvDeeper, has been introduced, to enhance the accuracy under challenging environments of iris recognition. It includes fourteen layers of convolution along with Dense blocks so it can effectively manage the complexities of iris texture. It has been evaluated on two datasets, namely CASIA-Iris-V3 and IITD, and exhibits a recognition accuracy of over 98%.

In [22], the Capsule Neural Network (CapsNet), has been applied to overcome the limitations of CNNs, like high sample requirements and sensitivity to noise. The pre-trained models have been integrated with them (VGG16, InceptionV3, ResNet50) and exhibits an enhancements of around 4-5% in accuracy rate compared to CNNs, especially in different lighting scenarios.

In [23], a deep ResNet combined with a pupil detection method to overcome iris segmentation challenge, especially in low-quality images. The intention was also to identify the ocular area, including located beyond the iris, hence enhancing resilience under difficult acquisition conditions, such as motion blur or opacity. Ninety-six percent to 98% accuracies have been acquired on three variant CASIA databases.

In [24], a Conditional Generative Adversarial Network (cGAN) has been presented and employed for data augmentation to address the scarcity of training data in the iris recognition system. The acquired images using the cGAN highly improved the recognition efficiency, and the accuracy increased by 3-7% using these augmented images.

In [25], introduce a combination of CNN and Resnet to raise the performance of iris recognition in challenging environments. This integration made the model able to catch both localized and global features, in which it shows an error rate equal to 1.01% and 1.12%.

In [26], CNN, infrared (NIR) images have been employed for iris recognition. The mid-level features from convolutional layers have been utilized to increase the accuracy, in which, results exhibit an ERR of less than 1%.

In [27], An iris recognition system has been introduced based on CNN called IRISNet, that extract the features and classify them without domain-specific knowledge.it shows an iris identification rates equal to 97.32% for original images and 96.43% for normalized one using the IITD V1 dataset.

In [28], a comprehensive deep learning approach has been introduced which integrates both segmentation and recognition phases utilizing the Mask R-CNN architecture. The CASIA-Iris Thousand dataset has been used for evaluation and the system achieved 99.14% accuracy rate.

In [29], the network so called YOLOv2-has been employed to perform iris and sclera recognition without the need of segmentation. The features of both sclera and iris regions are combined to be used by the model and show a mean Average Precision (mAP) equal to 99%.

In [30], Presented a new iris identification system using GAN-based image reconstruction to increase accuracy rate in unconstrained environments. Their approach was very proficient at minimizing errors and distortions resulting from motion blur or noise in the iris images. It is evaluated using databases like Noisy Iris Challenge Evaluation II and MICHE and shows a 5-8% increase in identification accuracy.

In [31], presented a CNN model of type 2 dimension for iris recognition which implements augmentation and enhancement methods, to make the training dataset bigger and raise up the accuracy. The presented model shows a training accuracy equal to 95.33%, while the testing accuracy was 100% with efficient consumption time.

In [32], introduces a condensed 2-channel deep CNN model, named (2-ch CNN) to achieve iris recognition in high accuracy. Three variant online augmentation methods have been employed and show high effectiveness with a minimized count of parameters.

In [33], introduces a system that employe a low-level CNN layers without any training for rapid recognition. a pre-trained models has been used for classification and feature extractors and a masking technique has been adopted to reduce the effects of borders. The system shows high accuracy and minimizes the computation times on datasets (CASIA Iris Lamp, CASIA Iris Thousand).

Recent developments in iris recognition have markedly improved performance; nonetheless, substantial limits remain, especially regarding computing complexity, scalability, and generalizability across varied situations. Considering the mentioned limitations in the related literatures, a new iris recognition system is presented using deep learning in this paper with the following contributions:

- 1. Propose SSLDMNet-Iris, a novel deep learning model to achieve high recognition accuracy with a reduced recognition time for iris recognition in real-world conditions, including occlusions, low-resolution images, and variations in lighting and pupil dilation.
- 2. Design a lightweight and efficient iris recognition system by integrating Fisher's Linear Discriminant and parallel convolutional branches, which significantly reduces computational complexity while maintaining high accuracy.

3. Evaluate the proposed system using seven benchmark datasets with many variations to demonstrate the high scalability and generalization of the proposed model.

3. PROPOSED DEEP SYNCHRONIZED SPATIOTEMPORAL LINEAR DISCRIMINANT MODEL FOR IRIS RECOGNITION (SSLDMNet-Iris)

SSLDMNet -Iris is a novel deep learning architecture designed for sequential data processing, combining multi-scale feature extraction with synchronized temporal modeling. The proposed deep model will be utilized after implementing a series of preprocessing operations on the input iris image and extract a set of features using the Fisher's Linear Discriminant (FLD) method. The proposed deep model has the ability to learn from features at multiple spatial scales while synchronizing this information with temporal dependencies through Gated Recurrent Units (GRUs) and incorporating the features obtained from Fisher's Linear Discriminant (FLD) for enhanced feature separability. The introduced model's architecture effectively captures local and global patterns, analyzes them discriminatively, and integrates them into the temporal dynamics of the data. FIGURE 1 depicts the architecture of the SSLDMNet -Iris model, and the layers of information are described in TABLE 1.



Figure 1: The architecture of the proposed deep SSLDMNet -Iris model.

The SSLDMNet –Iris proposed model presents an innovative framework for iris recognition, utilizing powerful spatiotemporal processing to tackle the specific challenges associated with biometric analysis. several significant advantages are related to the proposed SSLDMNet –Iris including:

1. Multi-Scale Extraction of Features for Iris Texture Assessment: The architecture incorporates several convolutional branches with different kernel sizes for efficiently capturing both very fine and coarse features of the iris texture. The multi-scale tackle allows SSLDMNet -Iris to

accurately identify important biometric features, including crypts, ridges, and furrows related to iris texture, which are vital in successful iris recognition.

- 2. Temporal Modeling for Variable Data: Real-time working iris recognition systems may suffer from temporal variations in picture quality due to factors such as blinking, variation in pupil diameter or motion. SSLDMNet -Iris utilizes GRUs to model the temporal dependencies in video-based iris detection or in consecutive frames. This ability increases the model's ability to process the variable iris data while ensuring that the data produced is both accurate and consistent.
- 3. Robust Regularization Versus Noise: Sometimes iris images are corrupted by noise which may be caused by changes in illumination, specular reflections or the detector. Batch normalization and dropout are some strategies that SSLDMNet -Iris uses to prevent over-fitting and enhance the robustness of the model especially when dealing with noisy or low-quality data sets. This makes it even more suitable for application in real life biometric systems.
- 4. Features Fusion Complementary: The parallel convolutional branches of SSLDMNet -Iris help in identifying spatial characteristics which are not extracted by the other branch thus providing a richer and more comprehensive representation of the iris patterns. This integration enhances the models' discriminative power especially in discriminating against irises that have similar patterns or in situations where the iris is partly covered or has reflections.
- 5. Flexibility in Iris Recognition Situations: The capabilities of SSLDMNIris include the following iris recognition modalities: Image based iris recognition, video-based iris recognition and multi angle iris recognition. Its ability to handle variable sequence length and adapt to different imaging conditions makes it more suitable for application in privacy, authorization and verification of identity.
- 6. Real Time Computing computation, for this the makes Purpose it of Application: for GRUs SSLDMNet are -Iris more to efficient provide in their required efficiency for real time iris recognition systems. This capacity is essential in cases of airport security checks or mobile identification where processing has to be done in real time.

3.1 Datasets

This research employs seven iris datasets as shown in TABLE 2, to assess the efficacy of the suggested iris recognition system. These datasets are well-established in biometric research and present a range of challenges, including differences in acquisition conditions, equipment specifications, and inherent drawbacks. FIGURE 2 shows samples of the seven utilized datasets.

4. EVALUATION AND MEASUREMENT RESULTS

A thorough study was conducted in order to assess and confirm the effectiveness of the proposed SSLDMNet-Iris model for effective and efficient iris detection, the performance metrics such as precision, recall, F-measure, accuracy and time have been used. The chosen metrics are intended to provide a thorough analysis of the model's performance in the iris recognition task with emphasis

| Layer | Output Shape | Parameter |
|------------------|---------------------|-----------|
| Branch 1 (3x3 Co | onvolutional) | |
| Convolution 1D | (None, 107, 16) | 64 |
| Batch_norm | (None, 107, 16) | 64 |
| Convolution 1D | (None, 107, 32) | 1568 |
| Batch_norm | (None, 107, 32) | 128 |
| Convolution 1D | (None, 107, 64) | 6208 |
| Batch_norm | (None, 107, 64) | 256 |
| Max pooling 1D | (None, 54, 64) | 0 |
| Dropout | (None, 54, 64) | 0 |
| Branch 2 (5x5 Co | onvolutional) | |
| Convolution 1D | (None, 107, 16) | 96 |
| Batch_norm | (None, 107, 16) | 64 |
| Convolution 1D | (None, 107, 32) | 2592 |
| Batch_norm | (None, 107, 32) | 128 |
| Convolution 1D | (None, 107, 64) | 10304 |
| Batch_norm | (None, 107, 64) | 256 |
| Max pooling 1D | (None, 54, 64) | 0 |
| Dropout | (None, 54, 64) | 0 |
| Branch 3 (7x7 Co | onvolutional) | |
| Convolution 1D | (None, 107, 8) | 64 |
| Batch_norm | (None, 107, 8) | 32 |
| Convolution 1D | (None, 107, 16) | 912 |
| Batch_norm | (None, 107, 16) | 64 |
| Convolution 1D | (None, 107, 32) | 3616 |
| Batch_norm | (None, 107, 32) | 128 |
| Max pooling 1D | (None, 54, 32) | 0 |
| Dropout | (None, 54, 32) | 0 |
| Branch 4 (2x2 Co | onvolutional) | |
| Convolution 1D | (None, 107, 32) | 96 |
| Batch_norm | (None, 107, 32) | 128 |
| Convolution 1D | (None, 107, 64) | 4160 |
| Batch_norm | (None, 107, 64) | 256 |
| Convolution 1D | (None, 107, 128) | 16512 |
| Batch_norm | (None, 107, 128) | 512 |
| Max pooling 1D | (None, 54, 128) | 0 |
| Dropout | (None, 54, 128) | 0 |
| | | continued |

Table 1: Related information on the SSLDMNet -Iris model layers'

| Layer | Output Shape | Parameter | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------------|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Branch 5 (4x4 Convolutional) | | | | | | | | |
| Convolution 1D | (None, 107, 16) | 80 | | | | | | |
| Batch_norm | (None, 107, 16) | 64 | | | | | | |
| Convolution 1D | (None, 107, 32) | 2080 | | | | | | |
| Batch_norm | (None, 107, 32) | 128 | | | | | | |
| Convolution 1D | (None, 107, 64) | 8256 | | | | | | |
| Batch_norm | (None, 107, 64) | 256 | | | | | | |
| Max pooling 1D | (None, 54, 64) | 0 | | | | | | |
| Dropout | (None, 54, 64) | 0 | | | | | | |
| Concatenate | (None, 54, 352) | 0 | | | | | | |
| Branch 1 (Tempo | oral Modeling) | | | | | | | |
| GRU | (None, 54, 16) | 17712 | | | | | | |
| GRU | (None, 8) | 600 | | | | | | |
| Dropout | (None, 8) | 0 | | | | | | |
| Branch 1 (Tempo | oral Modeling) | | | | | | | |
| GRU | (None, 54, 16) | 17712 | | | | | | |
| GRU | (None, 8) | 600 | | | | | | |
| Dropout | (None, 8) | 0 | | | | | | |
| Branch 1 (Tempo | oral Modeling) | | | | | | | |
| GRU | (None, 54, 16) | 17712 | | | | | | |
| GRU | (None, 8) | 600 | | | | | | |
| Dropout | (None, 8) | 0 | | | | | | |
| Concatenate | (None, 24) | 0 | | | | | | |
| Fully Connected | Layers | | | | | | | |
| Dense 1D | (None, 128) | 3200 | | | | | | |
| Batch_norm | (None, 128) | 512 | | | | | | |
| Dropout | (None, 128) | 0 | | | | | | |
| Dense 1D | (None, 64) | 8256 | | | | | | |
| Batch_norm | (None, 64) | 256 | | | | | | |
| Dropout | (None, 64) | 0 | | | | | | |
| Dense 1D | (None, 32) | 2080 | | | | | | |
| Batch_norm | (None, 32) | 128 | | | | | | |
| Dense 1D | (None, 108) | 3564 | | | | | | |
| Total narameters | : 132.004 | | | | | | | |

| Tal | ble | 1: | Continued |
|-----|-----|----|-----------|
| Tai | ble | 11 | Continued |

on reliability and precision. The SSLDMNet-Iris model architecture has sixty-one layers in order to offer effective multi-scale feature extraction together with synchronized temporal processing of iris patterns. The model has been developed on a Lenovo laptop with Microsoft Windows 10 64-bit as the operating system, an Intel(R) Core (TM) i7-7700HQ CPU 2.80 GHz and 16.00 GB of

| Dataset | Acquisition Device | No. of Images | Key Characteristics | Limitations |
|-----------------------------------|---|------------------|--|---|
| CASIA Iris 1.0 [34] | Custom near-infrared (NIR) camera (850 nm) | 756 | Grayscale images captured in controlled indoor environments | Small dataset size limits scalability; lacks diversity in lighting and environmental conditions |
| CASIA Iris 2.0 [35] | Advanced NIR iris camera | 1,200 | Introduces variations in pupil dilation and ambient lighting | Limited scale for deep learning; variability restricted to controlled lighting conditions |
| CASIA Iris 3.0 [36] | High-resolution NIR imaging devices | 22,051 | Subsets: Interval, Lamp, Twins, DistanceIncludes lighting and genetic challenges | Motion blur in distance subset; difficulty in distinguishing genetically similar individuals (Twins) |
| CASIA Iris 4.0 [37] | State-of-the-art operational NIR devices | 54,601 | High variability: noise, occlusions, off-axis captures; realistic scenarios | Computationally demanding preprocessing: noise complicates segmentation and feature extraction |
| IITD Iris Dataset [38] | Logitech camera (visible spectrum) | 1,120 | High-resolution grayscale images from Indian subjects with high intra-class variability | Limited number of subjects; captured in controlled environments with minimal illumination changes |
| UBIRIS Iris Dataset [39] | Visible spectrum camera (non-NIR) | 11,102 | Captures iris images under realistic noise conditions, including motion blur, reflections, and off-axis captures | Presence of extreme noise, such as specular reflections and motion blur, poses challenges for segmentation and feature extraction |
| MMU Iris Dataset [40] | Logitech webcam (NIR) | 4,550 | NIR-based images captured from multiple sessions, providing variability in pupil dilation and gaze | Small dataset size: limited variability in environmental factors, as images were captured in laboratory conditions |

Table 2: Summary of used Iris Datasets

RAM. The language choice for writing and running is Python 3.6.5. The system was trained for one hundred epochs with a batch of sixty-four using a total of 132004 parameters out of which 130324 are trainable and 1680 are untrainable in order to ensure that all the functions are fine-tuned in the training process. A learning rate of $10 e^{(-3)}$ was used in order to guarantee the proper convergence and to avoid overfitting. The SSLDMNet-Iris model was tested on the CASIA Iris 1.0, CASIA Iris 2.0, CASIA Iris 3.0, and CASIA Iris 4.0 datasets. These are well-known and commonly used in iris recognition research as they provide a challenging environment for the model to generalize on various iris patterns and imaging conditions. The model gave very high identification rates with the help of the main performance measures such as accuracy, precision, recall and F-measure. Also, the model had a low Equal Error Rate (EER) which shows that the model is capable of reducing both false positive and false negatives. The findings demonstrate the robustness of SSLDMNet-Iris, which makes it suitable for real-time application in the field of biometrics such as security and



Figure 2: Samples of used Iris Datasets

identification systems. TABLE 3–TABLE 6, presents a detailed comparison of the performance of SSLDMNet-Iris model with other state-of-the-art deep learning models on all seven datasets. This comparison shows that SSLDMNet-Iris is better in terms of accuracy and computational time than other models, thus making it an ideal choice for applications that involve iris recognition. The results show that the proposed model not only gives high identification efficiency but thus also makes it perform well as in suitable terms choice for large scale and real time iris recognition applications.

| | CASIA Iris 1.0 | | | | | CASIA Iris 2.0 | | | | |
|---------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|--------------|
| Model | Acc. (%) | Prs. (%) | Rec. (%) | F-meas. (%) | Time (ms) | Acc. (%) | Prs. (%) | Rec. (%) | F-meas. (%) | Time (ms) |
| VGG16 | 97 | 96.8 | 96.6 | 96.7 | 2193 | 95 | 94.8 | 94.5 | 94.6 | 4250 |
| EfficientNet | 94.8 | 94.7 | 94.5 | 94.6 | 4468 | 91.2 | 91 | 90.8 | 90.9 | 7523 |
| DenseNet | 96.2 | 96 | 95.9 | 96 | 1342 | 92.8 | 92.5 | 92.2 | 92.4 | 3405 |
| AlexNet | 94.5 | 94.3 | 94 | 94.2 | 4279 | 89.5 | 89.3 | 89 | 89.2 | 7350 |
| ResNet | 95.7 | 95.6 | 95.3 | 95.4 | 3116 | 93.4 | 93.2 | 93 | 93.1 | 8208 |
| Xception | 97.5 | 97.3 | 97 | 97.1 | 3690 | 96.5 | 96.2 | 96 | 96.1 | 5756 |
| Inception | 94.2 | 94.5 | 94.3 | 94.4 | 2942 | 92 | 91.8 | 91.5 | 91.6 | 6003 |
| MobileNet | 96.8 | 96.7 | 96.5 | 96.6 | 1518 | 94.3 | 94.1 | 93.8 | 93.9 | 4602 |
| SSLDMNet-Iris | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 1021 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 3050 |

Table 3: Comparison of SSLDMNet-Iris model for CASIA Iris 1.0 and CASIA Iris 2.0 datasets against many types of deep learning models

As noticed in the previous illustrated outcomes, the presented system has achieved the greatest results for the CASIA Iris 1.0, CASIA Iris 2.0 and MMU datasets in which the accuracy increased reaching 100 % using the SSLDMNet-Iris architecture . This demonstrates the SSLDMNet-Iris exceptional capability in extracting and analyzing complex iris patterns leveraging multi-scale spatial feature extraction and synchronized spatiotemporal modeling. The system sets a benchmark for state-of-the-art performance in Iris recognition, highlighting its robustness, efficiency, and suitability for critical biometric applications. Applying the SSLDMNet-Iris model on the CASIA Iris 3.0 dataset yielded excellent results with 99.5% accuracy.as shown in TABLE 4. On the other hand, the CASIA Iris 4.0 dataset is one of the largest and most unstructured datasets which present a vast

| | CASIA Iris 3.0 | | | | | CASIA Iris 4.0 | | | | |
|---------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|--------------|
| Model | Acc. (%) | Prs. (%) | Rec. (%) | F-meas. (%) | Time (ms) | Acc. (%) | Prs. (%) | Rec. (%) | F-meas. (%) | Time (ms) |
| VGG16 | 97.5 | 97.2 | 96.8 | 97 | 23200 | 88 | 87.8 | 87.5 | 87.6 | 73630 |
| EfficientNet | 88.9 | 88.5 | 88 | 88.2 | 17104 | 95.4 | 95 | 94.5 | 94.7 | 45229 |
| DenseNet | 95.3 | 95 | 94.5 | 94.8 | 22400 | 92.6 | 92.3 | 92 | 92.2 | 72712 |
| AlexNet | 83.4 | 83 | 82.5 | 82.7 | 36192 | 89.5 | 89 | 88.7 | 88.8 | 37813 |
| ResNet | 88.2 | 88 | 87.8 | 87.9 | 54051 | 85.7 | 85.4 | 85 | 85.2 | 84315 |
| Xception | 92.1 | 91.8 | 91.5 | 91.6 | 14429 | 91 | 90.8 | 90.5 | 90.6 | 55257 |
| Inception | 90.7 | 90.5 | 90 | 90.2 | 23804 | 92.3 | 92 | 91.5 | 91.7 | 84160 |
| MobileNet | 95.6 | 95.3 | 95 | 95.2 | 21989 | 87.8 | 87.5 | 87.2 | 87.3 | 43381 |
| SSLDMNet-Iris | 99.5 | 99.5 | 99.1 | 99.3 | 10950 | 99.6 | 99.5 | 99.3 | 99.4 | 22876 |

Table 4: Comparison of SSLDMNet-Iris model for CASIA Iris 3.0 and CASIA Iris 3.0 datasets against many types of deep learning models

Table 5: Comparison of SSLDMNet-Iris model for IITD and UBIRIS datasets against many types of deep learning models

| | IITD | | | | | UBIRIS | | | | |
|---------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|--------------|
| Model | Acc. (%) | Prs. (%) | Rec. (%) | F-meas. (%) | Time (ms) | Acc. (%) | Prs. (%) | Rec. (%) | F-meas. (%) | Time (ms) |
| VGG16 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 43700 | 93.2 | 93.7 | 90.1 | 91.86 | 20512 |
| EfficientNet | 89 | 90 | 88 | 88.98 | 23820 | 93.1 | 94 | 92 | 92.98 | 29683 |
| DenseNet | 93.5 | 94 | 92 | 92.98 | 54600 | 92 | 92.7 | 92.2 | 92.44 | 28041 |
| AlexNet | 91.8 | 92 | 91 | 91.4 | 32530 | 96.5 | 97. | 95 | 95.98 | 20108 |
| ResNet | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 84315 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 12673 |
| Xception | 95.7 | 96 | 95 | 95.49 | 55257 | 95 | 95.2 | 93.8 | 94.49 | 12957 |
| Inception | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 84160 | 89.2 | 89.2 | 89.2 | 89.2 | 20914 |
| MobileNet | 96.1 | 96.5 | 94.2 | 95.33 | 43381 | 81.7 | 81.7 | 81.7 | 81.7 | 12093 |
| SSLDMNet-Iris | 99.9 | 99.9 | 99.9 | 99.9 | 22417 | 99.97 | 99.97 | 99.97 | 99.97 | 10628 |

variety in the quality of images which make the Iris identification process difficult. However, the proposed approach achieved a very high accuracy of 99.6%. which demonstrates that the system is able to deal with complex and challenging data sets effectively thus making it highly reliable and efficient to use in challenging real-life conditions. The obtained outcomes from IITD Iris and MMU datasets prove the efficiency of the proposed system in obtaining high performance using a little amount of data, while the challenges of noisy data in the UBIRIS datasets demonstrate the high ability of the proposed system in handling many variations and subjects exists in the data, and this is what makes it suitable for real world application.

In this study, we evaluated the execution time (in milliseconds) of several deep learning models— VGG16, EfficientNet, DenseNet, AlexNet, ResNet, Xception, Inception, MobileNet against our proposed SSLDMNet-Iris—across the seven datasets. Execution time was measured as the total

| Model | Acc. (%) | Prs. (%) | Rec. (%) | F-meas. (%) | Time (ms) |
|---------------|----------|----------|----------|-------------|-----------|
| VGG16 | 95.7 | 96 | 95 | 95.49 | 520 |
| EfficientNet | 96.1 | 96.5 | 94.2 | 95.33 | 483 |
| DenseNet | 94.9 | 95.1 | 95 | 95.05 | 490 |
| AlexNet | 97.3 | 97.6 | 95.5 | 96.53 | 418 |
| ResNet | 93.1 | 93.7 | 90.1 | 91.86 | 134 |
| Xception | 93.8 | 94 | 92 | 92.98 | 139 |
| Inception | 92.5 | 92.7 | 92.2 | 92.44 | 134 |
| MobileNet | 98 | 98.4 | 98.2 | 98.29 | 128 |
| SSLDMNet-Iris | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 70 |

Table 6: Results of comparing SSLDMNet-Iris model for MMU dataset with different types of popular deep learning techniques.

time taken for model inference on a standard benchmark test. The results indicate that the proposed SSLDMNet-Iris model consistently outperformed the other models in terms of execution time. This substantial reduction in time highlights the efficiency of the proposed model, making it well-suited for real-time applications where fast response times are critical.

5. DISCUSSION

This paper introduced SSLDMNet-Iris, a novel iris recognition model that sets a new benchmark in performance across several challenging datasets with high recognition accuracy. The model achieved 100% accuracy on three datasets (i.e., CASIA Iris 1.0 CASIA Iris 2.0. MMU), along with accuracy ranging from 99.5% to 99.9% for the other four datasets, which significantly surpass existing approaches in both recognition accuracy and computational efficiency.

The robustness of SSLDMNet-Iris lies in its ability to address real-world complexities such as occlusion, pose variations, and low-resolution images due to it being composed of a multi-branch CNN architecture that employs kernels of varying sizes to extract both fine-grained and global features from iris images. This multi-scale approach is novel in its ability to capture intricate iris textures and structural patterns effectively. Moreover, the utilized Gated Recurrent Units (GRUs) is very beneficial in synchronized temporal modelling, which ensures that the two types of information are captured well, (i.e. local, global) iris features thus enhancing the accuracy of the system even under harsh conditions. in addition, the utilization of FLD for dimensionality reduction and feature separability, optimizes the class distinction and minimizing intra-class variance, which enhances recognition accuracy and computational efficiency.

The rapid recognition of the proposed SSLDMNet-Iris model is extremely efficient and ranging from 22876 millisecond for the CASIA Iris 4.0 down to 70 milliseconds for the MMU iris dataset, which make it suitable in real world applications since it has a lightweight architecture with reduced computational complexity compared to state-of-the-art models like VGG16 and ResNet. in which the total number of parameters is equal to 132,004, leading to reduced memory usage compared to larger models with millions of parameters. As a result, it achieves superior accuracy

with fewer trainable parameters and faster execution times, enabling its use in resource-constrained environments (e.g., mobile devices and embedded systems). TABLE 7 exhibits a measurement of the complexity related to the proposed deep model.

| Component | Туре | Complexity | Impact |
|------------------------|---------------|--|----------------------------|
| Convolutional Layers | Computational | $O(n \cdot k^2 \cdot d^2)$ | Low (multi-scale branches) |
| GRU Layers | Computational | $\mathcal{O}(3 \cdot h^2 + 3 \cdot \mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{d})$ | Medium (3 GRU branches) |
| Fully Connected Layers | Computational | $O(m \cdot n)$ | Medium |
| Parameter Storage | Memory | 132,004 total, 130,324 trainable | Low |
| Activation Storage | Memory | $O(b \cdot m \cdot d^2 \cdot 4)$ | Medium |
| Training Time | Time | Dependent on small epochs, small batch size, lightweight layers | Low |
| Inference Time | Time | Seventy MS (MMU) to 22,876 ms (CASIA 4.0) | Medium to Low |

Table 7: The complexity analysis of the proposed deep model for iris recognition

The high generalization and scalability of the proposed system has been demonstrated since it has been evaluated on seven iris datasets having many variations and challenges with different counts of samples, and the system shows a high recognition performance across all these datasets. While other studies focus on either improving accuracy or computational efficiency, SSLDMNet-Iris successfully achieves both, marking a significant step forward in practical and scalable iris recognition systems. These results show that the model can perform real time iris detection and identification hence suitable for applications that require fast response time. TABLE 8 presents a detailed comparison between SSLDMNet-Iris against other techniques applied to the seven datasets. These comparisons show that SSLDMNet-Iris is able to provide state-of-the-art identification rates with the added benefit of efficient computation, thus it can be viewed as a game changer in iris recognition.

6. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

This paper proposes SSLDMNet-Iris, an improved iris recognition model which has been developed to address significant challenges such as pupil dilation, occlusions, aging that effect recognition of individuals iris. It exhibited remarkable robustness and computational efficiency, particularly through the implementation of (GRUs) for multi-scale Linear Discriminant feature extraction and temporal modeling, rendering it highly suitable for real-time applications. The evaluation of the proposed iris recognition model using seven datasets with many variations has demonstrated the high generalization of the proposed model. where it achieves a remarkable recognition accuracy equal to 100 % using three bunch mark datasets. The proposed SSLDMNet-Iris is considered to be light weight model with a reduced number of parameters what makes it well suited for real world application. Moreover, the required recognition time for iris is less than one minute in all the seven datasets and this makes it an ideal solution for the iris recognition in very challenging environment

| Study | Dataset | Acc. (%) | Prs. (%) | Rec. (%) | F-meas. (%) | Time (ms) |
|----------|----------------|----------|----------|----------|-------------|-----------|
| [41] | | 99 | - | - | - | - |
| [42] | CASIA Iris 1.0 | 97 | - | - | - | - |
| proposed | | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 1021 |
| [43] | | 95 | - | - | - | - |
| [44] | CASIA Iris 2.0 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | - |
| proposed | | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 3050 |
| [45] | | 97.8 | 97.8 | 97.8 | 97.8 | - |
| [46] | | 96.5 | 96.2 | 96.0 | 96.1 | - |
| [47] | CASIA Iris 3.0 | 99 | - | - | - | - |
| [48] | | 95.3 | 95.0 | 94.7 | 94.8 | - |
| proposed | | 99.5 | 99.5 | 99.1 | 99.3 | 10950 |
| [49] | | 96 | - | - | - | - |
| [50] | | 98 | - | - | - | - |
| [51] | CASIA Iris 4.0 | 98.5 | 98.5 | 98.5 | 98.5 | - |
| [52] | | 96.8 | 96.3 | 96.0 | 96.1 | - |
| proposed | | 99.6 | 99.5 | 99.3 | 99.4 | 22876 |
| [53] | | 98.1 | - | - | - | - |
| [54] | | 86.8 | - | - | - | - |
| [55] | IITD | 99.3 | - | - | - | - |
| [56] | IIID | 97.24 | - | - | - | - |
| [57] | | 99.06 | - | - | - | - |
| proposed | | 99.9 | 99.9 | 99.9 | 99.9 | 22417 |
| [58] | | 98.8 | - | - | - | - |
| [59] | | 96.12 | - | - | - | - |
| [60] | UBIRIS | 94.81 | - | - | - | - |
| [61] | | 97.9 | - | - | - | - |
| proposed | | 99.97 | 99.97 | 99.97 | 99.97 | 10628 |
| [62] | | 99.99 | - | - | - | - |
| [63] | | - | - | - | - | 93 |
| [64] | | 99.7 | - | - | - | - |
| [65] | MMU | 98.01 | - | - | - | - |
| [66] | | 99.88 | 99.8 | - | - | - |
| [67] | | 95.9 | - | - | - | - |
| proposed | | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 70 |

Table 8: Iris Image datasets result comparison.

conditions. Future work should focus on expanding its scope to include applications like 3D iris recognition and multi-modal biometric systems.

7. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Not Applicable

8. DECLARATION OF COMPETING INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

9. ETHICAL APPROVAL

Not Applicable.

10. CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

The authors provide the appropriate consent to participate.

11. CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

The authors provide the consent to publish the images in the manuscript. The data used in the publication is publicly available. We provide respective citations for each of the data sources.

12. Code Availability:

The code developed and utilized in this study is publicly accessible at the DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.5281/zenodo.14166010

References

- [1] Nguyen K, Proença H, Alonso-Fernandez F. Deep Learning for Iris Recognition: A Survey. ACM Comput Surv. 2024;56:1-35.
- [2] Tebor D, Headley E, Karakaya M. Impact of Blinking on Deep Learning-Based Iris Recognition. In: Proceedings of the ACM Southeast Conference. 2024:113-120.
- [3] Abd Al-Latief ST, Yussof S, Ahmad A, Khadim SM, Abdulhasan RA. Instant Sign Language Recognition by War Strategy Algorithm-Based Tuned Machine Learning. Int J Networked Distributed Comput. 2024;12:344-361.
- [4] Shirke S, Midhunchakkaravarthy D, Deshpande V. A Novel Approach to Iris Recognition At-A-Distance: Leveraging BW-CNN Framework. Eng Res Express. 2024;6:045225.

- [5] Zambrano JE, Pilataxi JI, Perez CA, Bowyer KW. Iris Recognition Using an Enhanced Pretrained Backbone Based on Anti-aliased CNNs. IEEE Access. 2024.
- [6] Kumar JDS, Reddy ASS, Inthiyas S, Sridhar U, Mahesh KK, et al. Iris Recognition Modern Voting System Based on Deep Learning. 2024;4:1520-1528.
- [7] Ahmad N, Yadav KS, Kirupakaran AM, Barlaskar SA, Laskar RH, et. al. Design and Development of an Integrated Approach Towards Detection and Tracking of Iris Using Deep Learning. Multimedia Tools and Applications. International Journal of Progressive Research in Engineering Management And Science 2024;83:44661-44683.
- [8] Xu T, Li I, Zhan Q, Hu Y, Yang H. Research on Intelligent System of Multimodal Deep Learning in Image Recognition. J Comput Electron Inf Manag. 2024;12:79-83.
- [9] Hemis M, Kheddar H, Bourouis S, Saleem N. Deep Learning Techniques for Hand Vein Biometrics: A Comprehensive Review. Inf Fusion. 2024;144:102716.
- [10] Babu G, Pinjari AK. A New Design of Iris Recognition Using Hough Transform With K-Means Clustering and Enhanced Faster R-CNN. Cybern Syst. 2024;55:551-584.
- [11] Yin Y, He S, Zhang R, Chang H, Han X, et. al. Deep Learning for Iris Recognition: A Review. 2023 . Arxiv preprint: https://arxiv.org/pdf/2303.08514
- [12] Ali EH, Jaber HA, Kadhim NN. New Algorithm for Localization of Iris Recognition Using Deep Learning Neural Networks. Indones J Electr Eng Comput Sci. 2023;29:110-119.
- [13] Balashanmugam T, Sengottaiyan K, Kulandairaj MS, Dang H. An Effective Model for the Iris Regional Characteristics and Classification Using Deep Learning Alex Network. IET Image Process. 2023;17:227-238.
- [14] Devi PJ, Sonali A, Karthik BNS, Sindhuja A, Reddy AAK. Deep Learning for Iris Recognition: An Integration of Feature Extraction and Clustering. In: 4th IEEE Global Conference for Advancement in Technology (GCAT). IEEE. 2023:1-12.
- [15] Chavarro D, Karakaya M. Off-Angle Iris Recognition Using Single and Multiple Deep Learning Frameworks. In: Multimodal Image Exploitation and Learning. SPIE. 2023; 12526:62-70.
- [16] Ingle YS, Mahalle PN, Khonde SR, Bhagwat S, Diwakar MP. A Review on Iris Recognition Using Machine Learning Techniques. In: International Conference on Advanced Computing Technologies and Applications (ICACTA). IEEE. 2023:1-7.
- [17] Khatri M, Sharma A. Deep Learning Approach Based on Iris, Face, and Palmprint Fusion for Multimodal Biometric Recognition System. Int J Perform Eng. 2023;19:407.
- [18] Thorat SS, Dhole PV, Gaikwad SK, Kale KV. Understanding Iris Biometrics: Analysis of Iris Feature Patterns With CNN and Generalized Structure Tensor. J Surv Fish Sci. 2023;10:1521-1531.
- [19] Saleh AH, Menemencioğlu O. Study the Effect of Eye Diseases on the Performance of Iris Segmentation and Recognition Using Transfer Deep Learning Methods. Eng Sci Technol Int J. 2023;47:101552.

- [20] Davuluri S, Kilaru S, Boppana V, Rao MV, Rao KN, et. al. A Novel Approach to Human Iris Recognition and Verification Framework Using Machine Learning Algorithm. In: 2023 6th International Conference on Contemporary Computing and Informatics (IC3I). IEEE. 2023;6:2447-2453.
- [21] Chen Y, Wang W, Zeng Z, Wang Y. Adapted Deep Convnets Technology for Robust Iris Recognition. J Electron Imaging. 2019;28:033008-033008.
- [22] Zhao T, Liu Y, Huo G, Zhu X. A Deep Learning Iris Recognition Method Based on Capsule Network Architecture. IEEE Access. 2019;7:49691-49701.
- [23] Lee YW, Kim KW, Hoang TM, Arsalan M, Park KR. Deep Residual Cnn-Based Ocular Recognition Based on Rough Pupil Detection in the Images by Nir Camera Sensor. Sensors. 2019;19:842.
- [24] Lee MB, Kim YH, Park KR. Conditional Generative Adversarial Network-Based Data Augmentation for Enhancement of Iris Recognition Accuracy. IEEE Access. 2019;7:122134-122152.
- [25] Choudhary M, Tiwari V, Venkanna U. Enhancing Human Iris Recognition Performance in Unconstrained Environment Using Ensemble of Convolutional and Residual Deep Neural Network Models. Soft Comput. 2020;24:11477-11491.
- [26] Hwang H, Lee EC. Near-Infrared Image-Based Periocular Biometric Method Using Convolutional Neural Network. IEEE Access. 2020;8:158612-158621.
- [27] Omran M, AlShemmary EN. An Iris Recognition System Using Deep Convolutional Neural Network. In: Journal of Physics: Conference Series. IOP Publishing. 2020; 1530:012159.
- [28] Jayanthi J, Lydia EL, Krishnaraj N, Jayasankar T, Babu RL, et. al. An Effective Deep Learning Features-Based Integrated Framework for Iris Detection and Recognition. J Ambient Intell Humaniz Comput. 2021;12:3271-3281.
- [29] Chuang CW, Fan CP. Deep-Learning Based Joint Iris and Sclera Recognition With YOLO Network for Identity Identification. J Adv Inform Technol. 2021;12.
- [30] Lee MB, Kang JK, Yoon HS, Park KR. Enhanced Iris Recognition Method by Generative Adversarial Network-Based Image Reconstruction. IEEE Access. 2021;9:10120-10135.
- [31] Alwawi BK, Althabhawee AFY. Towards More Accurate and Efficient Human Iris Recognition Model Using Deep Learning Technology. TELKOMNIKA (Telecommunication Computing Electronics and Control). 2022;20:817-824.
- [32] Liu G, Zhou W, Tian L, Liu W, Liu Y, et. al. An Efficient and Accurate Iris Recognition Algorithm Based on a Novel Condensed 2-Ch Deep Convolutional Neural Network. Sensors. 2021;21:3721.
- [33] Zambrano JE, Benalcazar DP, Perez CA, Bowyer KW. Iris Recognition Using Low-Level CNN Layers Without Training and Single Matching. IEEE Access. 2022;10:41276-41286.
- [34] http://biometrics.idealtest.org/findTotalDbByMode.do?mode =Iris#/datasetDetail/1.

- [35] http://biometrics.idealtest.org/findTotalDbByMode.do?mode =Iris#/datasetDetail/2.
- [36] http://biometrics.idealtest.org/findTotalDbByMode.do?mode =Iris#/datasetDetail/3.
- [37] http://biometrics.idealtest.org/findTotalDbByMode.do?mode =Iris#/datasetDetail/4.
- [38] https://www4.comp.polyu.edu.hk/~csajaykr/IITD/Database_Iris.htm.
- [39] http://iris.di.ubi.pt/ubiris2.html.
- [40] https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/naureenmohammad/mmu-iris-dataset.
- [41] Sánchez D, Melin P, Carpio J, Puga H. A Firefly Algorithm for Modular Granular Neural Networks Optimization Applied to Iris Recognition. In: International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN). IEEE. 2016:139-144.
- [42] Adhau AS, Shedge DK. Iris Recognition Methods of a Blinked Eye in Non-ideal Condition. In:International Conference on Information Processing (ICIP). IEEE. 2015:75-79.
- [43] Balasubramanian SK, Jeganathan V, Subramani T. Deep Learning-Based Iris Segmentation Algorithm for Effective Iris Recognition System. Proceedings of Engineering and Technology Innovation. 2023;23:60-70.
- [44] Al-Waisy AS, Qahwaji R, Ipson S, Al-Fahdawi S, Nagem TA. A Multi-Biometric Iris Recognition System Based on a Deep Learning Approach. Pattern Analysis and Applications. 2018;21:783-802.
- [45] Chen Y, Zeng Z, Hu F. End to end robust recognition method for iris using a dense deep convolutional neural network. In Biometric Recognition: 14th Chinese Conference, CCBR 2019. pringer International Publishing. 2019:364-375.
- [46] Therar HM, Mohammed EA, Ali AJ. Multibiometric System for Iris Recognition Based Convolutional Neural Network and Transfer Learning. In: IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering. IOP Publishing; 2021;1105:012032.
- [47] Wang C, Muhammad J, Wang Y, He Z, Sun Z. Towards Complete and Accurate Iris Segmentation Using Deep Multi-Task Attention Network for Non-cooperative Iris Recognition. IEEE Trans Inf Forensics Secur. 2020;15:2944-2959.
- [48] Azam MS, Rana HK. Iris Recognition Using Convolutional Neural Network. Int J Comput Appl. 2020;175:24-28.
- [49] Hao K, Feng G, Ren Y, Zhang X. Iris Segmentation Using Feature Channel Optimization for Noisy Environments. Cogn. Comput. 2020;12:1205-1216.
- [50] Kerrigan D, Trokielewicz M, Czajka A, Bowyer KW. Iris Recognition With Image Segmentation Employing Retrained Off-The-Shelf Deep Neural Networks. In: International Conference on Biometrics (ICB). IEEE. 2019:1-7.

- [51] Sun J, Zhao S, Yu Y, Wang X, Zhou L. Iris Recognition Based on Local Circular Gabor Filters and Multi-Scale Convolution Feature Fusion Network. Multimed. Tools Appl. 2022;81:33051-33065.
- [52] Arsalan M, Naqvi RA, Kim DS, Nguyen PH, Owais M, et al. IrisDenseNet: Robust Iris Segmentation Using Densely Connected Fully Convolutional Networks in the Images by Visible Light and Near-Infrared Light Camera Sensors. Sensors. 2018;18:1501.
- [53] Chen Y, Wang W, Zeng Z, Wang Y. Adapted Deep Convnets Technology for Robust Iris Recognition. J Electron Imaging. 2019;28:033008.
- [54] Liu M, Zhou Z, Shang P, Xu D. Fuzzified Image Enhancement for Deep Learning in Iris Recognition. IEEE Trans Fuzzy Syst. 2020;28:92-99.
- [55] Chen Y, Wu C, Wang Y. T-Center: A Novel Feature Extraction Approach Towards Large-Scale Iris Recognition. IEEE Access. 2020;8:32365-32375.
- [56] Chen Y, Wu C, Wang Y. Whether Normalized or Not? Towards More Robust Iris Recognition Using Dynamic Programming. Image Vis Comput. 2021;107:104112.
- [57] Chen Y, Zeng Z, Zeng Y, Gan H, Chen H. DenseSENet: More Accurate and Robust Cross-Domain Iris Recognition. J Electron Imaging. 2021;30:63024-63024.
- [58] Proenca H, Neves JC. Deep-Prwis: Periocular Recognition Without the Iris and Sclera Using Deep Learning Frameworks. IEEE Trans Inf Forensics Secur. 2018;13:888-896.
- [59] Wang Z, Li C, Shao H, Sun J. Eye Recognition With Mixed Convolutional and Residual Network Micore-Net. IEEE Access. 2018;6:17905-17912.
- [60] Zhang W, Lu X, Gu Y, Liu Y, Meng X. A Robust Iris Segmentation Scheme Based on Improved U-Net. IEEE Access. 2019;7:85082-85089.
- [61] Shirke SD, Rajabhushnam C. Local Gradient Pattern and Deep Learning-Based Approach for Iris Recognition At-A-Distance. Int J Knowl Based Intell Eng Syst. 2021;25:49-64.
- [62] Alom MZ, Taha TM, Yakopcic C, Westberg S, Sidike P, et. al. A State-Of-The-Art Survey on Deep Learning Theory and Architecture. Electronics. 2019;8:292.
- [63] El Khiyari H, Wechsler H. Face Recognition Across Time Lapse Using Convolutional Neural Networks. J Inf Secur. 2016;7:141-151.
- [64] Bendjillali R, Beladgham M, Merit K, Taleb-Ahmed A. Improved Facial Expression Recognition Based on Dwt Feature for Deep CNN. Electronics. 2019;8:324.
- [65] Pei Z, Xu H, Zhang Y, Guo M, Yang YH. Face Recognition via Deep Learning Using Data Augmentation Based on Orthogonal Experiments. Electronics. 2019;8:1088.
- [66] Deng J, Guo J, Xue N, Zafeiriou S. Arcface: Additive Angular Margin Loss for Deep Face Recognition. In: Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 2019:4690-4699.
- [67] Goel T, Murugan R. Classifier for Face Recognition Based on Deep Convolutional Optimized Kernel Extreme Learning Machine. Comput Electr Eng. 2020;85:106640.